

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/482,338	CALABRIA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) EDMUND H. LEE.

(3) _____.

(2) STEPHANIE SCRUGGS.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

OBVIOUS DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTION

Claims discussed:

THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE

Prior art documents discussed:

THOSE CITED AS PRIOR ART OF RECORD

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
EXAMINER INFORMED MS. SCRUGGS THAT THE INSTANT CLAIMS CAN BE REJECTED UNDER OBVIOUS DOUBLE PATENTING. THE BASIS FOR THE REJECTIONS WOULD BE US PATENTS 5888437 AND 5733428. MS. SCRUGGS AGREED TO FILE TERMINAL DISCLAIMERS OVER US PATENTS 5888437 AND 5733428 IN ORDER TO OVERCOME THE OBVIOUS DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTIONS. THE FILING OF THE TERMINAL DISCLAIMERS WOULD PLACE THE INSTANT CASE IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.